



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
09/768,877	1/23/01	Polonsky et al.	ARCD: 3074SD1

EXAMINER	
Delia Ramirez	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1652	12/29/03

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Delia Ramirez (3) _____
(2) Charles Landrum (4) _____

Date of interview 12/29/03

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached. N/A

Claims discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

The examiner contacted Mr. Landrum to verify if an Appeal Brief was filed Concurrently with an After final Amendment. Mr. Landrum indicated that the Brief was filed and provided a copy of the PTO mailing receipt.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

DL
Examiner's Signature

Please indicate receipt of the below-identified paper:

<input type="checkbox"/> New Application For:		Priority Date:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign priority already claimed	<input type="checkbox"/> CIP	<input type="checkbox"/> Divisional	<input type="checkbox"/> CPA
<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation	<input type="checkbox"/> Pages	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/> Sheets
<input type="checkbox"/> Specification:		<input type="checkbox"/> Final Rejection	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Office Action Dated:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Appeal Brief (original and 3 copies); complementary copy of amendment; transmittal letter			
<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Enclosed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cert. of Timely Mailing	<input type="checkbox"/> Exp. Mail:	

IDENTIFICATION OF APPLICATION

Serial No.:	09/768,877
Title:	METHODS OF TREATMENT OF TYPE 2 DIABETES
Applicant:	Polonsky et al.
Client:	UC Tech
Mailed:	12/8/03
Filed:	9/8/03
Attorney:	GNS/CPL
F&J File No.:	PARCD:307/usd1
Due Date:	9/8/03

UC 37
DEC 10 2003
F&J

→ DEC 10